SUBJECT INDEX.

AFGHANISTAN.

Amir, The,
Declines direct relations with Russia (1905), 519 (No. 469); possible suspicions of (1007), 278 (No. 259); consent of to Anglo-Russian Convention, 1907, 573 (No. 512-3 and note); his delay, 574 (No. 514), 575 (No. 516), 576-7 (No. 517), 514 (No. 549); refuses to adhere to, 577 (No. 517, note).

Railway policy, Russian advance, Sir C. Hardinge on, 4 October, 1905, 208-7 (No. 1056); Narsatabad-Mashad-Seistan, 560 (Nos. 342-3); M. Isvolski on, 399-400 (No. 353), 428-9 (No. 398), (v. also Persia, sub Seistan); Zulfiqar, relation of to Afghanistan, 1907, 277 (No. 266); 479 (No. 429).

British special position in regard to British control over foreign relations of, Sir C. Scott on, 3 February, 1902, 514 (No. 465); Lord Lansdowne on, 25 November, 1903, 186 (No. 182), 17 February, 1905, 520-1 (No. 466 a), 17 October, 218 (No. 199); M. Isvolski criticizes, 3 July, 1907, 549 (No. 496); British Treaty obligations in respect to frontiers, Sir A. Nicolson on, 10 March, 1907, 437-8 (No. 895); Emperor Nicholas II states he understands British position in regard to the Amir, 25 April, 289 (No. 266).

Position of, how far a "Buffer State," Count Benckendorff and Lord Lansdowne agree on description, 1905, 521 (No. 466 b); M. Isvolski's article re, 15 May, 1907, 594-5 (No. 478); Sir E. Grey and Sir C. Hardinge, 635 (No. 476, min.); deleted by Sir E. Grey, 29 May, 537 (No. 481); M. Isvolski on, 10 July, 555-4 (No. 491, encl.); Sir E. Grey on, 8 August, 554-6 (No. 492 and encl.); British not prepared to allow intervention of a third Power in, Sir A. Nicolson on, 20-1 August, 560 (No. 499), 562-5 (No. 504).

Equilibrium of power in, M. Isvolski's proposal re, 19, 20 August, 1907, 558-9 (No. 497), 560 (No. 499); declined by Sir E. Grey, 21 August, 561 (No. 602).

Annexation or appropriation of, by Great Britain, Sir A. Nicolson on, no desire for, 2 April, 1907, 528 (No. 474); Sir E. Grey on, 588-9 (No. 480-1); his difficulty in making the pledge unconditional, 8 July, 550 (No. 486); Russian Memo. on, 19 July, 553-4 (No. 491, encl.); further suggestions, 19-20 August, 558-61 (Nos. 497-500).

AFGHANISTAN—(continued).

Anglo-Russian relations,
(a) 1900-5, Russian Memo. of 6 February, 1900, advocates direct Russian relations with, Indian Government's objection to this, Lord Salisbury discourages, 512-3 (No. 465); British remonstrances over M. Ignatius's activities at Kabul, 1900-1, 518-9 (No. 465); Sir C. Scott expounds British relations to Amir, 3 February, 1902, 514 (No. 465); Count Benckendorff's private letter, April 1903, 516 (No. 465); Russia declines British proposals, 21 August, 518 (No. 465); peremptory refusal repeated, 6 October, 519 (No. 465), 621 (App. II); Amir declines to have direct relations with Russia, 517 (No. 465); suggested rapprochement by Lord Lansdowne, November-December, 1902, 520 (No. 468), April, 1904, 50 (No. 469), 189 (No. 158, note); King Edward and, 60 (No. 48, note), 60 (No. 55, note), 188-9 (No. 183-4); Lord Lansdowne's assurances to Russia re status quo, 17 February, 8 March, 1905, 520-1 (Nos. 466 a, b); his view that it was useless to remonstrate with Russia over an Afghan frontier incident, 521-2 (No. 466); position of Russia and Afghanistan, 1905, 519-22 (No. 466).

(b) Negotiations leading to Anglo-Russian Convention re Afghanistan and Tibet, 1906-7; British Government to submit views re Afghanistan and Tibet, 7 August, 1906, 241 (No. 228); Sir E. Grey authorises discussions over Afghanistan, 7 September, 1906, 889 (No. 841); Draft instructions to Sir A. Nicolson, May, 881 (No. 910); Sir A. Nicolson unable to give proposals, 19 February, 1907, 275 (No. 253); proposed compromise over frontier agents and proposal for Afghan settlement, 22 February, 433-4 (No. 390); Sir E. Grey on difficulty of British making engagements re Afghanistan, 7 March, 276 (No. 250); Sir A. Nicolson and M. Polkov, 2 April, 528-9 (No. 474); Colonel Napier and Generals Pulitsin and Polivanov, 530-2 (No. 476, encl.); M. Isvolski's Draft Convention, 15 May, 533-5 (No. 478), Texts, 541-4 (No. 483); Indian Government on 25 May, 538 (No. 479, note), 540-1 (No. 482, ed. note); British Counter Draft, 20 May, Texts, 537-9 (No. 461 and encl.); Sir C. Hardinge and, 540-1 (No. 482, ed. note); comparison of Drafts, Texts, 541-4 (No. 483); Sir A. Nicolson's exchange of
AFGHANISTAN—(continued).

Anglo-Russian relations—(continued).

(b) Negotiations, &c.—(continued).

views with M. Ilovski, 17, 25 June, 545-7 (Nos. 494-5); discussion re "Russian authorities on the frontier," 3, 8 July, 471-0 (Nos. 492-7); British and, 10 July, 294-5 (No. 274); Sir E. Grey and, 10 July, 551 (No. 469); 19 August, 558 (No. 490); 21 August, 561 (No. 501); M. Ilovski's Memo., 19 July, Text, 553-4 (No. 491, encl.; Sir E. Grey's counter-memo., 8 August, Text, 554-6 (No. 492, encl.); Discussions as to form of Afghan Convention, 20 August, 299 (No. 281); hitches in proceedings over commercial clauses, Emperor Nicholas and, 25 August, 564-5 (No. 566); Sir A. Nicolson summarizes negotiations, 25 August, 565-6 (No. 568); Final acceptance by Russia, 20 August, 572-3 (Nos. 511-2); Convention signed, 31 August, 1907, Text, 541-4 (No. 458), 610 (App. 1); delay in obtaining Amir's consent, 575-8 (No. 533 and note), 575-6 (No. 515), 576-7 (No. 517); Consent of Amir refused, 577 (No. 517, note); Convention published, 26 September, 596 (No. 536); Sir A. Nicolson on, 20 January, 1908, 605-12 (No. 548); Joint communication to Persia mentions Afghan frontier, 685-0 (No. 526 and encl.).

(c) Reception and results of Afghan-Thibet Convention, M. Cambon regards agreement as recognizing British Protectorate, 18 September, 1907, 627 (No. 537); Russia intends to observe spirit of Convention, 21 May, 1908, 575 (No. 515); Sir A. Nicolson on Amir's continued refusal to accept Convention, 19 July, 575-6 (No. 516); Emperor Nicholas II and M. Ilovski on, 576-7 (No. 517).

Perso-Afghan frontier, British Treaty re, 1887, and difficulty in placing part in Russian sphere, 277 (No. 265), v. also 201 (No. 192).

ALLEIANCES (v. also Ententes, Treaties).

Anglo-Japanese, 1902, renewed, 1905, Chap., XXIV, 120-82 passim (v. also sub Japan).

Dual Franco-Russian, 211 (No. 193); terms unknown to Great Britain, 256 (No. 249) (v. also sub France).

Franco-German-Russian, proposed, to which United States might be added, 205-6 (No. 195), 211 (No. 198), 214 (No. 201), 217 (No. 203), 224 (No. 209), 604-8 (No. 544).

Triple Alliance, 211 (No. 198).

[16942]

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY.

Albania, Italy's attempt to combat influence of in, 45 (No. 84).

Anglo-Russian entente, public opinion favourable, 4 October, 1907, 500-1 (No. 541).

Hague Conference and armaments, 584-4 (No. 525); activity at St. Petersburgh re, March 1907, 282-3 (No. 260).

Serbo-Austrian dispute, Count Lamad orff attacked over, 224 (No. 209).

Thibet, 14 June, 1904, 311 (No. 294).

Turkey.

(a) Straits (v. also sub Straits Question), how far interested in Straits question and passage of Dardanelles by Russian Torpedo boats, 42 (No. 80); 43-4 (No. 83); Count Goluchowski and, 42 (No. 82), 43-4 (No. 83).

(b) Macedonia, policy towards, 1597 and 1904, 192 (Nos. 185), 226 (No. 210); 1906, 224 (No. 209), 226 (No. 210), 235 (No. 219); M. Ilovski and Judicial Reforms in, 248-9 (No. 294); Russia cordial to in 1906, 238 (No. 243); in 1907, M. Ilovski on, 3 September, 1907, 588 (No. 523); in 1907, general, 611-2 (No. 543). (v. also sub Press.)

BAGDAD RAILWAY (v. also Persia, Railways).

BALANCE OF POWER.

In Afghanistan, M. Ilovski's proposal re, August 1907, 558-0 (No. 497); British decline to admit third power, 560 (No. 499), 561 (No. 502).

In Europe—how maintained—Count Lamad orff on, 1905, 211 (No. 198).

"Buffer State" (v. sub Afghanistan).

CHINA.

"Open door" in 1901, 208 (No. 197); integrity and independence of in Preamble of Anglo-Japanese Treaty of 1905, 165 (No. 165), 211 (No. 198).

Russia's special interest in 1903, 188 (No. 151 (a)); Russo-Japanese proposals re, January 1904, 74-5 (No. 66 and encl.); Marquis Ito on Russia's intentions, November, 1904, 64-5 (No. 97, encl.); Russia's complaints of her neutrality, 69-70 (Nos. 62, encl. and note), 70-1 (No. 83).

United States' circular re disinterestedness of Neutral Powers, 1905, 69 (No. 61); agreed to, 69 (No. 61, note), 71 (No. 64).


International troops in North, French on German Emperor's proposal, 1905, 217-8 (No. 203), 225 (No. 209); unlikely to disturb Russia, 225 (No. 202 (b)).

 Sovereign rights not affected by Anglo-Russian Agreement, 299 (No. 261), 300-1 (No. 262).

2 t 2
CHINA—(continued).
Manchuria,
M. Witte says there is no serious intention of carrying out Russian evacuation of, 20 June, 1904, 3 (No. 68); Marquis Ito and, 21 November, 65 (No. 67, excl.); M. Witte on adventure, July 1905, 92 (No. 88); 93 (No. 89); references to in Portsmouth negotiations, 97-8 (No. 98); 99-102 (No. 94, excl.).
Japanese control over, 100 (No. 100).
Mongolia and North frontier,
Formula re omitted from Anglo-Russian Agreement, as settled in Russo-Japanese Agreement (q.v.), 209 (No. 280).
French fears of Russo-German Agreement re, October 1905, 209 (No. 187).
Thibet (q.v.) Anglo-Chinese Convention re, 27 April, 1906, Text, 224-5 (No. 305, excl.), 236 (No. 220), 238-9 (No. 224).
CHUMBI VALLEY (v. sub Thibet).
COREA, EMPIRE OF (v. also Wei-hai-wei).
Marquis Ito on Count Lamsdorff's agreement in 1901, 65 (No. 57, excl.); Russo-Japanese proposals, January 1904, 74-5 (No. 66, excl.); Corean Edict cancelling all Russian Treaties, 10 May, 1904, 112 (No. 102); M. Witte on Russian policy re, 30 June, 3-4 (No. 8); Corean-Japanese Agreement re, 22 August, 1904, Text, 118 (No. 108 and note 2); Japan thinks annexation essential July 1905, 145 (No. 182); Sir J. Jordan supports, 146 (No. 183), and Sir C. MacDonald, 148 (No. 185); Anglo-Japanese renewed agreement, discussion re, 149-50 (No. 130), 151 (No. 137 and excl. 2), 163 (No. 141), 159-60 (No. 148), 162-1 (No. 150), 161-3 (No. 151 and excl.), 163 (No. 182); Mr. Campbell's omission of paragraph re in Anglo-Japanese Agreement, 5 September, 1905, 178 (No. 155), 175 (No. 168), 177 (No. 172 (a)), 178 (No. 173 (b)); Russia on reference to, in Portsmouth Treaty, 23 August, 1905, 218 (No. 203); other references to in Portsmouth negotiations, 97-102 (Nos. 98-4), 106 (No. 109), 107-11 (No. 101).
Russo-Treaty with Japan accepting Protections, 18 October, 1905, 116 (No. 109), Text, 118-9 (No. 109); Baron Komura on, 6 November, 117 (No. 107).
DARDANELLES (v. sub Russo-Japanese War, (b) and sub Straits).
DOGGER BANK INCIDENT (v. sub Russo-Japanese War).
EGYPT.
Khedivial Decree, acceptance by Russia of, 190 (No. 184), 191 (No. 185); accepted, 193 (No. 186 and note), 194-5 (No. 188).
ENTENTE.
Franco-British, Emperor Nicholas II does not regard it as anti-German, 218 (No. 202).
Khedivial Decree attached to, adhered to by Russia, 190-3 (Nos. 184-6 and note).
FINLAND.
Russian policy towards, 2 (No. 8).
FRANCE.
Foreign policy, and Germany,
M. Bompard's opposition to the Franco-German-Russian Alliance, 1905, to Count Witte, 205-6 (No. 195); to Count Lamsdorff, 211-2 (No. 198); as well as to the U.S.A. joining that combination, 211-2 (No. 198); 214-5 (No. 201); M. Paul Cambon on, 217 (No. 205); project dropped, 224-5 (No. 209), but revival discussed by Baron Motono and Sir A. Nicolson, 21 October, 1907, 604-5 (No. 544).
and Great Britain,
Franco-British Entente, adhesion of Russia to Khedivial Decree attached to, 194-5 (No. 188); on Anglo-Russian relations, French press on Dogger Bank incident, 8-9 (No. 9 and min.); M. Paul Cambon on, 22 (No. 21), 50 (No. 43), 56-7 (No. 54); M. Bompard on, 66-7 (No. 55 and min.).
Peace of Portsmouth, M. Delcassé on prospects, 78-7 (No. 68).
Anglo-Russian rapprochement, M. Paul Cambon on, 212-3 (No. 199), 217-9 (No. 203); M. Bompard's advice to Sir A. Nicolson re, 238 (No. 223); M. Bompard on M. Izovski's attitude to, 274 (No. 253); fears German intervention, 282-3 (No. 260).
and Italy, courting of France by, not so evident, 1906, 40 (No. 84).
and Japan and Far East, affair of French Naval Attaché at Port Arthur, 89 (No. 30); value attached to Indo-China, 140 (No. 127, excl.); M. Bompard on renewed Anglo-Russian Alliance, 209-12 (Nos. 197-8).
and Morocco, Great Britain and Russia agree to support France in Conference, 1906, 220 (No. 200).
and Persia (q.v.).
and Russia (v. sub and Great Britain for Anglo-Russian relations).
Franco-Russian Alliance terms unknown to England, 1906, 256 (No. 249); French fears of its being endangered by Germany, 68 (No. 50); M. Witte on, 77-8 (No. 69); M. Delcassé's resignation a blow to, 197 (No. 199); Russian realization that it would
FRANCE—(continued).
Foreign policy—(continued).
and Russia—(continued).
Franco-Russian Alliance, &c.—
(continued).
be weakened by a Russo-German
understanding, 204 (No. 193, encl.); M. Crozier on M. Isovski’s attitude
to, 235–6 (No. 219), and M. Isovski’s visit to Berlin, 235–4 (No. 240),
267–8 (No. 245); M. Isovski describes
it as the basis of his policy, 264
(No. 240); Sir A. Nicolson on, in
general, 1906, 255–6 (No. 249).

GERMANY (v. also sub Persia, Germany).
The Emperor William II (1888–1918).
Relation to Emperor Nicholas II, general,
Sir A. Nicolson on, 1906, 256–7
(No. 249).

Russo-Japanese War and Peace, rapprochement
over Dogger Bank incident to Russia and proposals for a treaty, 4
(No. 4, note); French fears re, 68
(No. 69); Emperor’s speech attacking
Russian officers resentd by Emperor Nicholas II, June 1905, 197 (No. 190);
King Edward does not object to
German pressure on Russia for peace,
80 (No. 73, min.); Emperor’s message
to Emperor Nicholas II, 89 (No. 74
and ed. note); 85 (No. 77); his advice
to Emperor Nicholas II during peace
negotiations at Portsmouth, U.S.A.,
94–6 (Nos. 95–1).

Treaty of Bjørko between the two
Emperors, 24 July, 1905, Text, 94–5
(No. 95–1 and ed. note), 217 (No.
208); Emperor William’s meeting
with and influence on Count Witte,
202 (No. 193), 205 (No. 195).

Meeting of two Emperors 1907 at Swinemünde,
August 1907, M. Isovski present,
297–8 (Nos. 277–9), 608
(No. 546), 609–10 (No. 546).

Morocco question raised by Emperor
William, curt reply of Emperor Nicholas II, 1905, 197 (Nos. 190–1); Emperor Nicholas hopes for peace at
Conference, 1906, 290–1 (No. 208),
but refuses to appeal to German Emperor,
225 (No. 209).

China, Emperor William’s proposal to
withdraw international troops from,
1905, 217–8 (No. 203), 225 (No. 209).

Relation to King Edward VII, meeting at
Kiel, June 1904, 1 (No. 1 and note), 2 (No. 2); Emperor William’s belief,
in 1904, that England meant to
attack Germany, 222 (No. 238).

General foreign policy,
and Armmaments and Hague Conference,
representation to Russia, 1907, 282
(No. 280).

GERMANY—(continued).
General foreign policy—(continued).
and Baghdad Railway, in relation to Great
Britain and Russia, 281 (No. 216),
233 (No. 216), 207 (No. 224), 230 (No.
230); M. Isovski and, 248, 250 (Nos.
234–5); Prince Bülow on, 412–3
(No. 869).

and China, proposals to withdraw interna-
tional troops, 1905, 217–8 (No. 203),
225 (No. 209).

and France (q.v.).
and Great Britain, Vanity Fair and war
scare November 1904, 4 (No. 4, note); Germany does not wish to make
difficulties with Anglo-Russian
Entente (1906), 231 (No. 216), 237
(No. 221), 233 (No. 240); (1907),
201–2 (No. 260); but German inter-
vention feared, 234 (No. 218), 262–3
(No. 239), 282 (No. 260); Straits
question, Germany to be informed re
British view, March 1907, 280–1
(No. 258).

and Japan, Germany cannot view with
favour an Anglo-Japanese-Russian
Agreement, 209 (No. 247).

and Persia (v. sub Persia, Great Powers
and Germany).

and Russia,
General, Germany feared by, 197 (No.
190); M. Hartwig fears a struggle with, 200 (No. 192); Sir A.
Nicolson thinks German influence
predominant at court, 256
(No. 243).

Commercial Treaty with, Baron Rich-
hofen on, September 1904, 4–5
(No. 4); Russo-German agreement,
deal, 255 (No. 4).

Dogger Bank incident (v. sub Russo-
Japanese War) and proposals for
 treaty, 4 (No. 4, note); French fears re, 68 (No. 69); influence on
terms of Portsmouth peace, 1905, 80
(No. 74), 100–9 (No. 94, encl. 2,
ed. note); M. Witte fears German
power, May 1905, 77 (No. 69), but
reverts to a pro-German policy,
October, 202 (No. 193), 205 (No.
195), 209 (No. 197); Count Lams-
dorff anti-German, and proposed
agreement with Germany dropped,
214 (No. 201), 224–5 (No. 209).

Germany refuses loan to Russia,
1906, 230 (No. 215); M. Isovski’s visit to Berlin, 1906, his account to
Sir F. Lascelles, 246–8 (No. 234);
Sir A. Nicolson on, 249–50 (No.
239), Sir F. Lascelles on, 261–2
(No. 288); M. Bompard on,
253–4 (No. 240), 267–8 (No.
246); M. Isovski’s solicitude for
Germany, 209 (No. 247), but states
he has told the Emperor William
and Prince Bülow that Franco-
Russian alliance is the basis of his
policy, 254 (No. 240).
ITALY.

and Austria-Hungary, attempts to combat influence in Albania, 45 (No. 84).
and France, rapprochement not so evident, 1908, 46 (No. 84).
and Germany, Foreign Minister caused to fall by, 225 (No. 209).
and Russia, 288 (No. 243).

JAPAN.

General.

In favour of war till Russia sues for peace, 71 (No. 65); attitude towards Anglo-Russian Agreement, 288-9 (No. 246).


(a) Negotiations re,

Japan denies Russo-Japanese Agreement with a view to isolating England, 18 February, 1905, 120 (No. 110); Viscount Hayashi and Lord Lansdowne discuss possible renewal of, 120-3 (Nos. 111-3); Japanese draft, 10 May, 1905, Text, 123-4 (No. 114 and encl.); Lord Lansdowne's suggestions, 124-5 (No. 110); accepted by Japanese Government in principle, 125-6 (Nos. 116-7); British reply to Japan, 182 (No. 119); Agreement, Text (90 January, 1902), 128-30 (No. 118); Viscount Hayashi's Draft heads (20 May, 1905), 128-30 (No. 118 and note); question of naval force, 183 (No. 122 and min.); 183-4 (Nos. 123-4); Revised British draft and Notes, (5 June, 1905), Text, 184-7 (Nos. 126-8); War Office observations, 187-40 (No. 127 and encl.); Lord Lansdowne's minute, 140 (No. 127, min.); Japanese counter draft.
JAPAN—(continued).
Anglo-Japanese Agreement—(continued).
(c) Reception, &c.—(continued).
Russia—(continued).
(No. 218 and encl.); Count Witte stated to have urged a coalition of France, Russia and Germany against the Anglo-Japanese Alliance, 205 (No. 195), and to include U.S.A. 224 (No. 208); Germany cannot favour an agreement between England, Russia and Japan, 260 (No. 247).
Anglo-Russian Agreement.
 Russo-Japanese Agreement, 1897.
Some papers advocated Alliance in 1904 after the War, 40 (No. 81); Agreement, 1907, 268–9 (Nos. 246–7), 278 (No. 250), 274–5 (No. 253); British desire to promote, 279 (No. 255); Russian guarantee in Asia, 294–5 (Nos. 262 (a) (b), 299 (No. 269); possible Anglo-Russian-Japanese Agreement, 1906, 254–5 (No. 242), 1907, 288–9 (Nos. 246–7); French desire for, 274–5 (No. 225); German suspicion of, 269 (No. 247).
Russo-Japanese War (q.v.).

MACEDONIA.
Austria-Hungary and, v. sub Austria-Hungary.

MANCHURIA (v. sub China).

MOROCCO CONFERENCE.
Russia resents raising of question by Germany, curt reply of Emperor Nicholas II, 197, 199 (Nos. 190–1), British to support France, Russian Emperor agrees, 220 (No. 206); Emperor Nicholas II refuses to appeal to Emperor William II over, 225 (No. 226); mentioned, 216 (No. 202).

MUkDEN.
Japanese victory at, 1905, and Russian situation after, 75–8 (No. 67), 84 (No. 76).

PERSIA—(continued).
Government: Executive—(continued).
Shah, Mohammed Ali (1907–9), eldest son succeeds his father, January 1907, and promises obedience to Constitution, February, 258 (ed. note), 424 (ed. note), 425 (No. 884, note); wishes Anglo-Russian advance, 425 (No. 886); Russian influence with, against Assembly, April, 457 (No. 412); receives advance from Russia, November, 508 (No. 464); possible danger of, 510 (No. 484); deposed and exiled, July 1909, 356 (ed. note).

Shah, Sultan Ahmed (1900–24). Second son of Shah Mohammed Ali, recognized as Vailabad by Russia and Great Britain, January 11, 1907, and proclaimed, 424 (ed. note); succeeds his father as Shah and is associated with a Regency, July 1909, 556 (ed. note).
Prince Malik Mansur, second son of Shah Muzaffur-ud-Din, 857 (No. 819).

Executive.
Mushir-ul-Mulk on dangerous situation, 21 June, 1907, 474–5 (No. 427); danger of conflict with Assembly, December, 1907, 507–8 (No. 463 and note); M. Ivoyski and, 14 November, 607 (No. 549); Sir A. Nicolson on, 509 (No. 494). (v. also infra, Anglo-Russian Entente.)
British difficulties with, 1902, 369–71 (No. 321 (a)), 1907, 474–5 (No. 427), 508–9 (No. 464).

National Assembly and Public opinion. Nationalism. Pan-Islamism and, 11 October, 1906, 404 (No. 860); Constitution, description of, 424 (No. 883); Sir C. Spring-Rice on British policy, 12 October, 1908, 404–5 (No. 861), 405 (No. 362); France and, 405–6 (No. 883); Loans in relation to National Assembly, 419–20 (No. 376); Change in attitude to Great Britain, Sir C. Spring-Rice on, 21 December, 1906, 420–1 (No. 577); popular disturbance at Tabris, February, 1907, 425–6 (No. 884); Anglo-Russian policy to internal affairs, 1907, 422–3 (No. 881); Russian attitude at Tehran different from that at St. Petersburg, April 1907, 457 (No. 412); probable attitude to Anglo-Russian Convention, 11 April, 1907, 450–3 (No. 409); Finance dependent on Assembly, June 1907, 476 (No. 427); Sir E. Grey’s alterations in Draft Convention to conciliate, June 1907, 465–8 (No. 417); Reception of news of Anglo-Russian Convention by Assembly and Public, 15 September, 588–9 (No. 529); Mirza Hassan Khan on loans and Convention, 24 September.
PERSIA—(continued).

National Assembly, &c.—(continued).

697-9 (No. 888); dangerous attitude of Assembly to Shah, December 1907, 607 (No. 463 and note); Zul-e-Sultan and, 444 (No. 452), 449-50 (No. 408 and note).

Army and armed forces,

Russia and Persian Cossacks, 887 (No. 821).

Banks (v. sub Finance).

Commerce and Customs,

Persian pledge not to put Customs of S. Persia under a third Power's control (1897, 1900), 570 (No. 821 (a)); British Commerce; figures of, for parts of the Gulf, 866 (No. 821); Trade sphere indicated by Sir M. Durand, 660-1 (No. 819); Lord Lansdowne on "equal opportunity," 17 October, 1905, 213 (No. 109); temporary truce in Anglo-Russian rivalry, September 1908, 400 (No. 854); (v. after this date under Anglo-Russian negotiations); oil, D'Arcy concession, 11 April, 1907, 450 (No. 409).

Finance and Banks,

Finance. Russian loan to Persia of 1899, 579 (No. 826); and of 1900, 872 (No. 521 (b)); British financial advances, 1903-4, 371 (No. 821); effect of in checking Russian influence, Sir A. Hardinge, 1006, 378 (No. 821 (b)); Sir E. Gray refuses to give a loan, 2 February, 1906, 378 (No. 825); possible German loan (v. infra, Germany), May, 982-3 (Nos. 529-30); M. Isvolski denies fact of Russian loan, 881-4 (No. 831); M. Isvolski on German loan, 12 September, 1906, 242 (No. 229); asks for British views on a joint loan, 886-7 (No. 836); (v. infra, Anglo-Russian Entente).

Banks. British policy as regards, Sir A. Hardinge on, 1005, 874 (No. 821 (c)); 377 (No. 323); Deutsche Bank, 283 (No. 218); Imperial Bank of Persia, 440 (No. 395, excl.); 449 (No. 396); 462 (No. 416, excl.), 468 (No. 417), 469 (No. 416), 472 (No. 423); Banque d'Escompte of Persia, 462, 464 (No. 416, excl.), 467-8 (No. 417, excl.), 469 (No. 418), 472 (No. 422); French banks to be discouraged from lending to Persia, 1907, 443 (No. 860); German Bank, 883 (No. 848); 898 (No. 851), 419 (No. 860), 419 (No. 874).

Railways,

Bagdadb Railway and, May 1906, 882-8 (No. 239); Prince Boulow on, 412 (No. 369); Herr von Schoen on, 417-8 (No. 374); also mentioned, 468 (No. 448, excl.); M. Isvolski on, 490 (No. 888).

PERSIA—(continued).

Railways—(continued).

Russian railway policy,

Central Asian, Sir A. Nicolson on, 2 January, 1907, 259 (No. 248); effect on Afghanistan, 1905, 206-7 (No. 105).

Future railways,

Lines likely to be constructed as a result of Anglo-Russian negotiations (g.v. infra), 450-2 (No. 409); Mushir-ul-Mulk on, 1907, 475 (No. 427); effect of Anglo-Russian Convention on British railway lines, September 1907, 505 (No. 458).

Telegraph lines,

M. Isvolski's regrets, Seistan, 247 (No. 234); Seistan, 384 (No. 349), 428 (No. 888), 441-2 (No. 897 and excl.); Khanikin, 460-1 (No. 409); General, 470 (No. 421); Anglo-Russian negotiations, Great Britain to control Meshed-Seistan, Russia, Meshed-Tehran, 1907, 276 (No. 253), 385-6 (No. 851, and note), 390 (No. 842), 428 (No. 888), 472 (No. 423), 485 (No. 458, and min.), 501 (No. 454); Sir C. Hardinge summarises desiderata, 10 July, 294-5 (No. 274); Concessions of Russia re, August, 560-1 (No. 453-4); Anglo-Russian Convention (g.v. infra and), 507-4 (No. 456).

Water Award. Persian difficulty re, Sir C. Hardinge on, 26 September, 1905, 201 (No. 192).

Great Powers and,

France,

And Persian Gulf, 1899, 355-7 (No. 319); to discourage French loans to Persia, 16 March, 1907, 443 (No. 890); on British Declaration re, 1907, 607 (No. 402).

Germany,

Inquiries re Anglo-Russian Agreement, 25 May, 1906, 281 (No. 216), 24 May, 263 (No. 218); new Persian policy, 24 April, 1906, 381 (No. 828); Russia recognizes her interest in Bagdad Railway, 23 May, 1906, 281 (No. 216); her interests as commercial not political, 886 (No. 351); possible German loan to Persia, 882-3 (Nos. 829-30), 887 (No. 830), 891 (Nos. 344), 891 (No. 345); Herr von Stumm disbelief, and German Government would refrain from, 2 October, 1906, 401 (No. 386); German Bank in Persia, 886 (No. 348), 896 (No. 361), 419 (No. 369); Herr von Schoen on 4 December, 1906, 418 (No. 374); Turco-Persian frontier and German Emperor, October 1906, 402 (No. 386); German view of Anglo-Russian Agreement, 406 (No. 988);
PERSIA—(continued).
Great Powers and—(continued).
Germany—(continued).
Inquiries, &c.—(continued).
M. Ivalski on, 460 (No. 887), 411-3 (No. 888-91); "Open door" to Germany, 30 November, 1906, 254-5 (No. 242); Prince Bülow demands "open door" for trade, 409 (No. 867), 411 (No. 869), 412 (No. 869), 417 (No. 874); and Bagdad railway, 412 (No. 869), 417-8 (No. 874); and Persian Gulf, April 1907, 453-4 (No. 410), 488 (No. 439); Sir E. Grey on clauses to favour "open door," 21 June, 473 (No. 429); Assent of Russia to, 28 June, 488 (No. 452).

Great Britain.
Anglo-Persian Treaty of 1857, 440 (No. 895, encl.); integrity of Persia, assurances to Persia re, Anglo-Russian, 1884 and 1888, 370 (No. 321 (a)); British assurances, Lord Lansdowne, 1902, 370 (No. 321 (a)); Sir E. Grey's assurances, 1906, 377 (No. 323), 380 (No. 327); lays down general policy of non-interference, August, 384 (No. 333); reassures Persia as to our negotiations with Russia, 1 October, 400 (No. 355); Sir C. Spring-Rice on, 7 October, 401-2 (No. 356); proposed Anglo-Russian declaration, 13 October, 405 (No. 362); Sir E. Grey on, 407 (No. 365, note); Sir A. Nicolson states policy not one of ordinary partition, 8 May, 1907, 292-3 (No. 271); Sir C. Spring-Rice points out there is no formal engagement re integrity of Persia in Anglo-Russian Agreement, 8 May, 1907, 451 (No. 409); Sir E. Grey on, 471 (No. 421).

British interests in special areas of, or near, Persia,
(a) Persian Gulf and South Persia. British and status quo. Lord Salisbury's statement to Persia re British policy in Gulf, 16 April, 1899, 364-5 (No. 820); confirmed by Lord Lansdowne, 6 January, 1902, 370 (No. 321 (a)); Russian and German activities in, 1899, 355-6 (No. 319); Lord Lansdowne's Declaration in the Lords that attempt of third Power to establish naval base or fortified port in Gulf is a grave menace and would be resisted, 5 May, 1903, 370 (No. 321 (a)), 371 (No. 821), 454 (No. 410), 478-9 (No. 429); Russia and, 1903, 183-4 (Nos. 181 (a) and (b)); Sir A. Hardinge on, 1905, 376 (No. 822); France and, 1899,
PERSIA—(continued).
Great Powers and—(continued).
Russia—(continued).
Russian interests, &c.—(continued).
(b) Nathaniel Persia and Tehran—hold
upon, 1899, 367 (No. 921); British recognize her superior
interest in, 1905, 860 (No. 321 (a)).
(c) Persian Gulf (q.v.) and South
Persia (q.v.).
(d) Susa (q.v.).
(e) Public opinion and press—favourable
able to entente with Great
Britain, 408 (No. 867); Novoe
Vremya, Oko, 408 (No. 867); (v.
infra Anglo-Russian relations).

Anglo-Russian relations, in respect to,
(a) Anglo-Russian relations (1854—
1906). Anglo-Russian Agreements
generally (1854—73), 859 (No. 819);
re Turco-Persian frontier
(q.v.), 1865, 453 (No. 410); Anglo-Russian joint
action rejected by Sir M. Durund, 1895
and 1899, 859 (No. 819); suggested
rapprochement by Lord
Landsdowne, 17 November, 1902,
183—4 (No. 181 (a)); King
Edward VII and 29 April,
1904, 50 (No. 48, note),
189 (No. 188, note), 189 (No. 184);
Sir A. Hardinge on, 1905, 976—7
(No. 922); possibility of new
rapprochement, Lord Landsdowne
on, 5 October, 1905, 207—8 (No.
196); Emperor Nicholas II and
King Edward VII’s message, 24
October, 1905, 215 (No. 203); Sir
E. Grey’s view, 13 December,
1905, 218 (No. 206); Sir E. Grey
denies to Persian Government
that an Anglo-Russian under-
standing exists, 6 March, 1906,
380 (No. 527); possibility of
Anglo-Russian loan, April 1906,
250 (No. 214); Sir E. Grey’s
answer in Parliament re rappro-
chement, 24 May, 1906, 232
(No. 217); Sir A. Nicolson
suggests conversations with
Russia, 29 May, 237—8 (No. 221);
Emperor Nicholas II on, 5 June,
238 (No. 222); M. Ivolinski desires
modus vivendi, August 1906, 394
(No. 322).

(b) Anglo-Russian Rapprochement and
Convention, 1906—7. Sir C.
Hardinge to ask Russian views re
Persia, 7 August, 241 (No. 226);
Sir E. Grey authorizes Sir A.
Nicolson, 7 September, 1906, 289
(No. 341); M. Ivolinski on German
loan, 12 September, 242 (No. 229);
proposals for joint loan, 391
(Nos. 344—5); M. Ivolinski and
Anglo-Russian “spheres of influence,” 892—4 (Nos. 847—9);
PERSIA—(continued).
Great Powers and—(continued).
Russia—(continued).
Anglo-Russian relations—(continued).
(b) Anglo-Russian, &c.—(continued).
494-5 (No. 447 and encl.); Convention, 81 August, Text, 602-4
(No. 456, encl.), 618 (App. 1); ratifications, 504 (No. 456, note);
to be communicated to Persia if Russia agrees, 504 (No. 457).
[v. also supra, Railways, Telegraph lines, Persian Gulf, Scimitar.]
Reception by Persia. Proposed joint communication to Persia,
4 September, Text, 580-1 (No. 521 and encl.); communication, 11
September, 588-90 (No. 528, encl. and ed. note); certain material
withheld from Persia, 25 September,
509 (No. 539); M. Iwowski fears difficulties between, 5
September, 582-3 (No. 529); publication in Persia itself, 18
September, 590-2 (No. 550); Sir A. Nicolson congratulated by
King Edward VII, 17 September,
595-6 (No. 585); and by Sir E. Grey, 18 September, 596-7 (No.
537); M. Paul Cambon thinks England has gained by the Conven-
tion, 18 September, 597 (No. 537); Sir F. Dayson or conveys
summary of Convention to M. Clemenceau, 9 September,
587-8 (No. 527); and full version to French Government, 25
September, 507 (No. 423); Sir E.
Grey discourages asking other Powers to join Convention, 14
September, 504 (No. 638); reception in German and Austro-
Hungarian Press on whole favourable, 1, 4 and 8 October, 599-600
(No. 540), 600-1 (No. 541), 601-2
(No. 542); M. Iwowski thinks German Government unfavour-
able, 14 November, 606 (No.
546); Emperor Nicholas II's pleasure at, 23 October, 606
(No. 545); Sir E. Grey's speech at
Berwick and M. Iwowski's congratulations, 25 December, 609
(No. 547); F.O. Memo. on Anglo-
Russian Convention, Text, 29
January, 1906, 615-6 (No. 549);

Turkey. Dispute over Turco-Persian frontier, 1907, Sir A. Nicolson on,
510-1 (No. 464).

PORT ARTHUR.
Effect of Japanese capture of, 12 January,
1905, 68 (No. 69).

PRESS.

Austro-Hungarian,
General,
Anglo-Russian Agreement favourably
received, 502 (No. 522).

Individual papers,
Neue Freie Presse, M. Iwowski contraricta report that he had given
terms of Anglo-Russian Agreement
Prince Bublow, 10 August, 1907,
208 (No. 279).

Pester Lloyd, 44 (No. 34).

British,
General,
Doggier Bank incident, attitude resented
by Emperor Nicholas II, 81 October,
1904, 26-8 (No. 24); Press not con-
trolled by British Government, 26
(No. 24); M. Bompard on anti-
Russian attitude of, 67 (No. 59);
 favourable to rapprochement, with
Russia, 1905, 215 (No. 202); on
alleged Anglo-Russian Agreement,
232 (No. 217).

Individual papers,
Daily Graphic, 5 (No. 4).
Daily Mail, 52 (No. 47, note).
Standard, 39 (No. 80); report on Anglo-
Russian entente, May 1906, 283
(No. 218 and note).

Times, on alleged Russo-German Agree-
ment, September 1904, 4-6 (No. 4);
revision of Thibetian Convention,
23 September, 1904, 317-8 (No.
299); on Anglo-Japanese Agree-
ment, 1905, 121 (No. 111), 205
(No. 194); on Anglo-Russian Agree-
ment, 1907, 609 (No. 547).

Vanity Fair, and German war scare,
4 (No. 4, note).

French,
General,
Attitude unsatisfactory over Dogger
Bank incident, 8-9 (No. 9); King
Edward on, 9 (No. 9, min.); Sir E.
Monson on, 1904, 68 (No. 59);
atacks on Russian governing
class, 256 (No. 243).

Individual papers,
Eco de Paris, 59 (No. 90).
Maison, revelations re Anglo-French
entente discredited by Emperor
Nicholas II, 216 (No. 202).

German,
General,
M. Bompard on, 67 (No. 58);
Asserts Emperor William II is going
to meet King Edward, Spring of 1906,
227 (No. 211).

Large section sympathetic towards
Russia, 1906, 256-7 (No. 248).

Russian,
General,
French influence on, 209 (No. 197);
details as to censorship and control,
39 (No. 30), but not necessarily
indicative of Russian Government,
256 (No. 189); press advocates peace
PRESS—(continued).

Russian—(continued).

General—(continued).

Press influence on—(continued),
after Mukden with two exceptions, 76 (No. 67); and after Tsushima with a few exceptions, 83-4 (No. 76); opposed to Muraviev’s appointment as first plenipotentiary, 91 (No. 88); M. Witte and bluffing tactics of, 89 (No. 89); on Japanese terms, 90-7 (No. 92); on Anglo-Japanese agreement, 203-4 (No. 198, incl.); 206 (No. 195), 208-9 (No. 197); attacks on Count Lambsdorff over Serbo-Austrian dispute, 224 (No. 200); outbreak in Afghanistan, 1906, 674 (No. 514).

Individual papers,

Bourse, 574 (No. 514).

Local German-Russian, 204 (No. 199, incl.).

Moskovskaya Vedomost advocates war after Mukden, 76 (No. 67).

Novoe Vremya, general, 59 (No. 39), 574 (No. 514); Anglophobe, 206 (No. 197), advocates war after battle of Mukden, 75 (No. 67); after battle of Sea of Japan, 83 (No. 76); after peace of Portsmouth, 198 (No. 191), 208 (No. 193 and incl.), 208 (No. 197); hostile to Government, 651 (No. 531); attacks England over Seistan, 201 (No. 192); advocates Anglo-Russian Agreement, 408 (No. 367).

Novosti, 204 (No. 193, incl.).

Oko, 408 (No. 367).

Retch, 574 (No. 517, note (1)); 574 (No. 514).

Russ (under Russian Foreign Office), 39 (No. 39), 203-4 (No. 193, incl.).

Slovo, 204 (No. 193, incl.).

RUSSIA.

Internal,

Emperor Nicholas II, character and views, 1906, Sir A. Nicolson on, 206-1 (No. 246); anti-British influences in entourage, 253 (No. 240); Cabinet moderately pro-British, sketches of principal members in 1906, 281-2 (No. 249).

Reform,

Emperor’s first manifesto re, 5 (No. 4, ed. note), his views on the disorders, 1906, 221 (No. 206); Sir E. Grey on possibility of revolution, 242 (No. 227); situation quieter owing to M. Stolypin, 249 (No. 284), 266 (No. 244); M. Witte on Finland, 2 (No. 3).

Finance, 1906, 284-5 (No. 248); Count Witte’s policy, 1905-6, 215 (No. 202), 239 (No. 203).

Russian General Staff, anti-British, 1906-7, 242-3 (No. 229), 260 (No. 238), 253

RUSSIA—(continued).

Internal—(continued).

Russian General Staff—(continued).

(No. 246), 253-60 (No. 248), 266 (No. 244), but ready to make some concessions, 278 (No. 250, min.); opposed to giving up Seistan, 408 (No. 267); military policy and armaments, 1906, 202-4 (No. 249); Sir A. Nicolson considers policy now (1907) defensive not aggressive, 283 (No. 250), and considers “forward policy” renounced in the East, 283 (No. 260); Armaments, 1906, 292-5 (No. 248).

Parties and public opinion,

Anti-British party in Russia, strength of, 199 (No. 191), 226 (No. 210), 250 (No. 286), 258 (No. 240).

Duma favourable to Great Britain, 226 (No. 210), but hostile to Government, 224 (No. 209), 273 (No. 251).

Public opinion, 97 (No. 22), 247 (No. 234).

(v. also sub Press, Russia.)

Foreign policy,

and Afghanistan (q.v.),

and Austria-Hungary, 258 (No. 248).

and France (v. sub France).

and Germany (v. sub Germany, and for relations of Emperor Nicholas II with Emperor William II).

and Great Britain

Anglo-Russian Entente,

(a) First attempts at (1903-5), Lord Lansdowne and Count Benckendorff, November 1903, 183-4 (181 (a), 186-8 (No. 182); King Edward’s conversation with M. Isvolsky at Copenhagen, April 1904, 188 (No. 183), and with M. Paul Cambon, 189 (No. 183, ed. note); Sir C. Hardinge’s reception as Ambassador, 190-1 (No. 183), and by Emperor Nicholas II, May 1904, 190-4 (No. 187); interruption of pourparlers by Russo-Japanese War, 196 (ed. note); pourparlers resumed by King Edward’s message to Emperor Nicholas II, 30 May, 1905, 195-6 (No. 189); Kedivial Decree accepted by Russia (v. sub Egypt); M. Hartwig and pro-British policy, 199-201 (No. 192).

(b) Pro-German phase (1905), Treaty of Bähr, 24 July, 1906, Text, 94-5 (Nos. 90-1, ed. note), 217 (No. 203); (v. also sub Germany) and M. Witte’s pro-German policy, 202 (No. 193), 205 (No. 195), 209 (No. 197), 214 (No. 201); M. Bonpard on, 203-6 (No. 198); defeat of Franco-German-Russian proposal, 205-6 (No. 198), 214 (No. 201).
RUSSIA—(continued).
Foreign policy—(continued).
and Great Britain—(continued).
Anglo-Russian Entente—(continued).
(c) Preliminary stages, 1905-6, Lord Lansdowne and Count Benckendorf, 8 October, 207-8 (No. 199); Sir C. Hardinge's recall and King Edward's message, Emperor Nicholas II's reply, 216-8 (No. 202), 220-1 (No. 206); Sir E. Grey expresses hopes of reaching an agreement, 18 December, 218 (No. 204); proposals of Count Witte via Dr. Dillon re loan, January 1906, 219-20 (No. 205), 221 (No. 207), 227 (No. 211); conversation between Count Lansdorff and Sir C. Hardinge, 6 January, 222-3 (App. III); Count Benckendorff opens the subject with Sir E. Grey, 29 January, 222-4 (No. 208); too dangerous for King Edward to visit Russia, 227 (No. 211), 230 (No. 214); Count Lansdorff's message to Sir E. Grey, 227-8 (No. 212); Sir E. Grey denies existence of agreement, 24 May, 232 (No. 216), 236-7 (No. 220); M. Ivolski Foreign Minister and views, 222-8 (Nos. 215-9); Sir A. Nicolson's interviews with, 207-8 (No. 221), and with the Emperor Nicholas II, 238 (No. 222); Emperor's wish for entente, 272 (No. 249), 276-7 (No. 255), 288-9 (No. 266); General situation in 1906, Sir A. Nicolson on, 269-60 (No. 245).
(d) Detailed negotiations (v. respectively sub Afghanistan, Persia, Thibet).
(e) Reception of Anglo-Russian Agreement, Sir E. Grey's speeches on, M. Ivolski's pleasure at, 25 December, 1907, 609 (No. 547); British opposition on, 24 February, 1908, 616 (No. 550); M. Ivolski's meeting with King Edward and Sir E. Goschen, 5 September, 1907, 682-4 (No. 528); Emperor Nicholas II on, 23 October, 608 (No. 545); France and, 7 September, 1907, 296 (No. 525); M. Clemenceau on, 9 September, 587-8 (No. 527); Austria-Hungary, public opinion in, favourable, 4 October, 600-1 (No. 641); German press favourable, 1 October, 593-500 (No. 540); but not leaders of opinion, 6 October, 601-2 (No. 542); revival of German rapprochement to Russia, 21 October, 604-5 (No. 544), but Germany not really favourable to agreement, 610-1 (No. 549).

RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR.
(a) Dogger Bank incident, Chap. XXIII, § 11 passim, details of attack, 5, 6 (Nos. 5, 6); Count Lansdorff on, (No. 7); M. Sazonov on, 7, 8 (No. 8); French press on, 8, 9 (No. 9); Emperor Nicholas on, 9, 10 (No. 11); correspondence of Count Benckendorff with Lord Lansdowne on, 10-14 (Nos. 12, 13); Lord Lansdowne does not accept Russian Admiral's explanation, 14 (No. 14); demands inquiry before Russian fleet leaves Vigo, 16-17 (No. 16); no British destroyers in the North Sea at the time, 17 (No. 17), nor of any other nationality, 8 (No. 8, note); Emperor Nicholas II desires Hague inquiry, 18 (No. 18); Orders to British fleets, 18-19 (No. 19); Russian fleet to remain at Vigo pending inquiry, 19-20 (No. 20), 23 (No. 22), 25-9 (No. 25); Count Benckendorff's anti-crisis statement to be made by Mr. Balfour, 20-1 (No. 20); on Mr. Balfour's speech of 28 October, 1904, public feeling in England, 23-4 (No. 23); M. Paul Cambon on affair, 22 (No. 21); M. Bompard on, 68-17 (No. 58 and min.); German attitude, Emperor William II and, 4 (No. 4, note); French fears re, 67-8 (No. 50); Emperor Nicholas II's interview with Sir C. Hardinge on, 25-7 (No. 24); British draft of International Inquiry, Text, 80-1 (No. 25, excl. 1), observations of Lord Lansdowne, 81-2 (No. 25, excl. 2); Sir C. Hardinge on British naval preparations, 83-5 (No. 28); King Edward on, 35 (No. 28, min.); articles of British draft read by Lord Lansdowne in speech of 9 November, 1904, 36 (No. 27); Russian attempts to alter British Draft defeated, 36 (No. 27, and min.), 88-7 (No. 28); Text in French, 87-8 (No. 29); Count Katsura on incident, 40-1 (No. 51); Award of Commission, 25 February, 1905, 85 (No. 29, note).
(b) Straits Question and Russian Volunteer Fleet (v. also sub Straits), Passage of Russian destroyers through Dardanelles, 41 (No. 32, ed. note), 46 (No. 36); representation to Turkey as to passage of Russian torpedo boats through Dardanelles, January 1903, 41-2 (No. 32), 44-6 (No. 34), 48 (No. 36); Austro-Hungarian view, 48-9 (No. 38); Turkish
RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR—(continued).

(b) Straits Question, &c.—(continued).

Peace negotiations, &c.—(continued).

official view re, 47 (No. 87), 49 (No. 89, encl.); British statement to Japan that they would oppose attempts of Russian Black Sea Fleet to emerge, January—February 1904, 48-9 (No. 40-1); and to France, 50 (No. 43); Japanese reply re, 51 (No. 49); "Nemesis" incident, 50 (No. 44), 51 (No. 48), 52 (No. 47); Parliamentary question re Straits by Mr. Gibson-Bowles, 49 (No. 42); Refusal of Russia to give written declaration to Turkey not to change flag of Volunteer Fleet after passing the Straits, 62-8 (No. 48-9); actual passage of Straits by Volunteer Fleet, 6-11 November, 1904, 53-7 (Nos. 50-5); British Memo. re, Text, 54 (No. 50, note), 56 (No. 53); M. Paul Cambon on, 53-7 (No. 54); Japan on, 57 (No. 55); Sir C. Hardinge's Memo. respecting the passage of Russian War vessels through the Dardanelles and Bosphorus, Text, 13 November, 1904, 53-60 (No. 55, ed. note).

(c) British claims on Russia in connexion with the War, 1903-5, outstanding, shipping claims arising from destruction of property in the war area and from internal disorders in Russia, v. Chap. XXIII., § IV, pp. 90-4 passim, and Sir E. Grey on, 616 (No. 550).

Peace negotiations and terms, Japanese and Russian proposals to secure peace just before the outbreak of the war, Text, 74-5 (No. 56, encl.); negotiations for peace, Sir C. Hardinge on British attitude, 8-4 (No. 8); M. Witte on Russian war aims, 4 (No. 8); Marquis Ito on Japan's war aims, 64-6 (No. 57, encl.); Japan on effect of fall of Port Arthur, 12 January, 1905, 68 (No. 59); Russian papers circular re disinterestedness of neutrals in regard to China, 69 (No. 61 and note), 69-70 (No. 62 and encl.), 70-1 (No. 63), 71 (No. 64); Chinese neutrality, 69-70 (No. 62 and encl.), 70-1 (No. 63); Japanese desire for mediation expressed to U.S.A. Government, 71-5 (No. 65 and ed. note); situation in Russia after battle of Mukden, 75-6 (No. 67); M. Deloose and, 76 (No. 65, ed. note), on prospects, 76-7 (No. 65); M. Witte on, 25 March, 92 (No. 68), 7 May, 77-8 (No. 69); Naval Battle of Tushima or Sea of Japan, 17-8 May, 78 (No. 70); effects of, 78-9 (No. 71), in Russia, 82-4 (No. 76); President Roosevelt asks Lord Lansdowne if he knows Japan's terms, 2 June, 78-9 (No. 71 and note); Lord Lansdowne's speech, 1 June and Russian inquiry re, 79 (No. 72); President Roosevelt on situation, 4 June, 79-80 (No. 73); Great Britain does not object to German pressure on Russia, King Edward on, 80 (No. 75, min.); Emperor William II's message re peace to Emperor Nicholas II and President Roosevelt on, 80-1 (No. 74 and ed. note), 85 (No. 77); President Roosevelt's message to Lord Lansdowne, 82 (No. 78); Russian Council and Emperor Nicholas II on peace, 84 (No. 79); President Roosevelt's suggestion to Emperor Nicholas II, 85 (No. 77); Emperor Nicholas II's reply, 85 (No. 78), 88 (No. 80), 89-90 (No. 86); Lord Lansdowne's attitude in June, 86 (No. 80, note), 86 (Nos. 81-2); Japanese attitude, 8-14 June, 85 (No. 79), 86-8 (No. 80); President Roosevelt formally offers good offices, 9 June, which Japan accepts, 10 June, 88 (No. 83, note); President Roosevelt on prospects, 88 (No. 84); King Edward and, 89 (No. 85); formal Russian announcement re peace negotiations, 26 June, 89 (ed. note); M. Witte first plenipotentiary, 91-2 (No. 85); views on indemnity, etc., 93-4 (No. 89); Emperor William II's advice to Emperor Nicholas II and Treaty of Böjrho, 24 July, Text, 94-5 (Nos. 90-1 and ed. note); press and public opinion in Russia to 16 August, 96-7 (No. 92); Japanese account of negotiations till 18 August, Text, 97-9 (No. 93); Japanese terms and Russian reply, Texts, 98-102 (No. 94 and encl.); German influence on, 102 (No. 94, encl. 2, note 8); struggle about war expenses and Isle of Sakhalin, 102-4 (Nos. 96-9), 105 (No. 98); President Roosevelt's warnings to Japan, 22-8 August, 104-5 (No. 97); and telegram to Emperor Nicholas II, 25 August, Text, 105-6 (No. 99), 106 (No. 100); Treaty of Portsmouth, 23 August, 1905, Text, 107-11 (No. 101); ratified by Emperor Nicholas II, 1 October, 111 (No. 101); by Emperor of Japan, 14 October, 111 (No. 101, note 9).

SAGHALIEN (SAKHALIN), ISLAND OF.

Negotiations re in Treaty of Portsmouth, 98 (No. 86), 99-101 (No. 94 and encl.), 108 (No. 95), 104 (No. 97), 105-6 (No. 99), 106 (No. 100), 109 (No. 101, Art. 9).

STRAITS QUESTION, DARDANELLES AND.

Black Sea Clauses in Treaty of Paris, M. Witte on, 3-4 (No. 3); Counsellor Shuvalon on and Russia's attitude, 1 March, 1906, 225 (No. 210); Sir E. Grey on, 254 (No. 241), 257-8 (No. 242); not in Sir A. Nicolson's instructions, 272-3 (No. 250), and min. and note; Memo. by Sir E. Grey, 10 March, 1907,
STRASIES QUESTION, &c.—(continued).
Black Sea Clauses, &c.—(continued).
Text, 279-80 (No. 257); Germany to be informed, Count Benckendorff on, 268-1
(No. 255); M. Ivolski on, 268-4 (No. 261), 288 (No. 268); M. Ivolski’s Memo.;
Text, 267-9 (No. 265, encl.); Lord Fitzmaurice on, 268 (No. 265, min.).
Sir E. Grey’s counter-memo., 27 April,
Text, 290-1 (No. 268 and encl.);
Turkish suspicions re, 298 (No. 257),
293 (No. 273), 294 (No. 270); M. Ivolski’s memo. of 10 July, Text,
295-6 (No. 275 and encl.), 296 (No. 276).
(c. also sub Russo-Japanese War.)

THIBET.
(a) Conventions, etc., re, with Great Britain
(1903-1905). Great Britain and China Convention, 17 March, 1809, Terms, 305
(ed. note); Regulations, Sikkim Thibet,
5 December, 1903, 305 (ed. note); Indian Government on Thibet’s refusal
- to keep obligations, 8 January, 1903,
305 (ed. note); Russia asserts she has no
Convention with Thibet, 8 April, 305
(ed. note); proposed Conference of Chinese-Thibetan and
Anglo-Indian representatives, 29 April, 305 (ed. note).

(b) Youngusband Expedition 1903 and
Conventions with Thibet and China,
7 September, 1904 and 27 April, 1906.
Secretary of State for India sanctions
advance of Colonel Youngusband to
Gyang Tze, 6 November, 1906, 305
(ed. note); Russian enquiries re, 17
November, 306-7 (No. 289); Lord
Landsdowne’s conversation re, 25 November,
186-7 (No. 182); M. Ivolski’s
inquiry of King Edward, 22 April, 1904,
188-9 (No. 193); Count Lamsdorff’s
inquiries re Thibet, 190 (No. 194);
Lord Landsdowne’s Memo., 10 May re
objects of Youngusband expedition,
Text, 308 (No. 291), 310 (No. 293),
Count Lamsdorff on Memo. of 10 May,
191-2 (No. 185); French report of
anxiety of Emperor Nicholas II, 30 May,
309 (No. 292); Austria-Hungary and
Thibet, 14 June, 1904, 311 (No. 294);
United States and, 29 June, 313 (No.
297); Indian Government’s views of
points Youngusband should secure
from Thibet, 26 June, 30 June, 312 (No.
290), 313-4 (No. 297, ed. note); Anglo-
Thibetan Convention, 7 September, 1904,
Text, 314-7 (No. 298); ratified, 11
November, 316 (No. 296); Declaration
7 September, 317 (No. 298); Times’
version of, and Count Lamsdorff on
Russian views, 23 September, 317-8
(No. 299); China’s objection to Convention,
3 3 September, 318-9 (No. 300);
Lord Lansdowne’s explanation, 29
September, 321 (No. 305); Anglo-
Chinese Convention, 27 April, 1906,
THIBET—(continued).
(b) Youngusband Expedition, &c.—(continued).
Text, 323-6 (No. 305 and encl.), 328-7
(No. 220); Sir E. Grey explains to
Russia but acknowledges, 29 May,
(No. 220); Sir A. Nicolson to Russia,
7 June, 1906, 329-40 (No. 223-4);
China to be approached re Scientific
Missions, 7 September, 1907, 688 (No.
525), 14 October, 693-4 (No. 543 and
encl.); Concessions and China, 615-6
(No. 549).
(c) Anglo-Russian negotiations and Arrangement,
1905-7. Russian complaints of
British Resident at Kashgar, 26 September,
1906, 200-1 (No. 193); Russian
policy of non-intervention in, 10 April,
1906, 311-2 (No. 306); Sir A. Nicolson
instructed as to British bases of
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